Testicular tumours are uncommon but constitute an important group of malignancies in young men. Worldwide, it is estimated that there were more than 48,500 new cases and 8,900 deaths from the disease in 2002. The vast majority are primary germ cell tumours (GCTs) and the incidence has doubled in the past 30 years (with most of the increase in seminomas). While most patients present with early-stage and highly curable disease, the continued rise in the incidence of these tumours presents a major challenge.

Germ cell testicular tumours are the most common solid malignancies in males between the ages of 20 and 35; it is estimated that in 2008 there will be 900 new cases and 30 deaths from testicular cancer in Canada.

Germ cell cancer is a rare disease that requires expert treatment. Clear evidence has emerged that patients with germ cell cancer benefit from treatment in centres with special experience in the field. However, it is also of considerable importance that clear, comprehensive and up-to-date consensus guidelines are available which represent the current “state of the art” in diagnosis and management of germ cell cancer. The European Germ Cell Cancer Consensus Group published guidelines in 2004 (updated in 2008) and these reflect the “European” approach to management of patients with GCTs. In October 2007, the 1st Canadian Germ Cell Cancer Consensus Conference was held in Toronto with support from the Canadian Partnership against Cancer (CPAC), the Canadian Institute of Health Research, multiple provincial cancer agencies, the Dell’Elce Testicular Cancer Research Fund from the Princess Margaret Foundation and industry sponsors. The initiative was endorsed by the Canadian Urological Association, the Canadian Association of Medical Oncologists and the Canadian Association of Radiation Oncologists. There were a total of 46 attendees from across Canada and international invitees (Dr. Peter Albers, Dr. Robert Huddart and Dr. Craig Nichols). The group reviewed and discussed the current literature and the Canadian experience with germ cell cancer. The group developed this Canadian Consensus Guideline to cover many aspects of the diagnosis and management of germ cell cancer.

1. Diagnosis and staging

Clinical presentation of germ cell tumour

Most patients present with a primary tumour in the testis. Delay in diagnosing germ cell cancer, which has been shown to affect outcome, may be caused either by patients who ignore symptoms for too long or by physicians who fail to make the correct diagnosis. In a minority of patients, the primary tumour manifestation is located extragonadally (i.e., in the retroperitoneum or in the mediastinum).

Consensus recommendations

There are mandatory diagnostic and staging examinations (Table 1). These include scrotal examination, determination of the serum tumour markers alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), β-human chorionic gonadotrophin (HCG) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), scrotal ultrasound to image the testis, computed tomography (CT) scan of the thorax, abdomen and pelvis (chest x-ray should be used instead of CT thorax in stage I seminoma). Bone scan and CT scan of the brain are indicated in patients with symptoms suggestive of central nervous system or bone involvement and in patients with poor prognosis disease. Other imaging procedures, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET), should not be routinely used.
Further investigations to determine their eventual place in this setting are required.

Tumour marker values should be available prior to surgery and should be repeated at intervals to measure the half-life kinetics (half-life AFP <7 days, ß-HCG <3 days).

Radical orchietomy should be performed through an inguinal incision and the testicle should be removed along with the spermatic cord to the level of the internal inguinal ring. In very rare cases where there is a possibility of a benign tumour, excisional biopsy with a frozen section should be performed prior to definitive orchietomy to allow for the possibility of organ-sparing partial orchietomy.

In patients with synchronous bilateral tumours, metachronous contralateral tumours or solitary testicles with normal preoperative testosterone levels, organ-preserving surgery may be an alternative procedure to orchietomy in very select patients; this should be discussed with the patient. If this approach is considered, the patient should undergo surgery by a surgeon with experience in this procedure. If organ-preserving surgery is performed and intratubular germ cell neoplasia unclassified is found in the remaining testicular tissue, adjuvant radiotherapy is recommended but may be delayed in patients who wish to father children. A full discussion on semen cyropreservation and androgen replacement should take place.

In general, orchietomy should be performed prior to any further treatment. In patients with life-threatening metastatic disease and an unequivocally elevated AFP and/or HCG, orchietomy should not delay the start of chemotherapy and can be postponed until later in the treatment course.

The UICC (Union Internationale Contre le Cancer) TNM classification system should be used for staging purposes (Table 2a).9 Patients with metastatic disease are classified according to the classification system of the International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group (IGCCCG).10,11 Using this system, patients are divided into “good,” “intermediate” and “poor” prognosis groups (Table 2b).

The histopathological report should document the following points: localization and size of the tumour, multiplicity, tumour extension (rete testis, tunica albuginea, tunica vaginalis, epididymis, spermatic cord, scrotum), pT category according to the UICC classification, histological type, the presence or absence of tubular intra-epithelial neoplasia, as well as the presence or absence of vascular invasion of blood or lymphatic vessels. In tumours with multiple tumour types, each individual component and its estimated relative proportion should be reported. Because of the clinical importance of all histological specimens, it is highly recommended that they are assessed by a pathologist experienced in testis cancer pathology.12

2. Management of stage I and II testicular seminoma

Stage I seminoma

Although radiotherapy has been the standard treatment of clinical stage I seminoma patients for the past 65 years, there is growing recognition that adjuvant radiotherapy is associated with an increased risk of late side effects, including second non-testicular malignancies and cardiovascular disease. Concerns regarding late toxicity of radiotherapy, success of surveillance in stage I nonseminomatous GCTs and improvements in diagnostic imaging have led to an assessment of close surveillance after orchietomy for stage I seminoma, with treatment reserved for those who relapse.

Surveillance

Numerous prospective non-randomized studies of surveillance have been performed (Table 3).13-20 The data in these series are now mature and relapse rates have consistently been reported to be about 15% in unselected patients with stage I disease. The predominant site of relapse in all studies was in the paraaortic lymph nodes; 41 of 49 (82%) of relapses in the Danish Testicular Cancer Study Group (DATECA) study and 57 of 64 (85%) in the Princess Margaret Hospital (PMH) series.18,19 The median time to relapse ranged from 12 to 18 months, but late relapses (>4 years) have been reported in some series. Disease-specific survival is 99% and thus comparable to other options.

Tumour size and rete testis invasion have been shown in a pooled analysis of 638 cases from 4 centres to predict for relapse.21 Using this prognostic model, a risk-adapted approach to management has been reported by the Spanish Germ Cell Cancer Cooperative Study Group with surveillance reserved for low-risk patients and adjuvant therapy for patients with 1 or 2 adverse prognostic factors.22 This study confirmed that low-risk patients (no adverse factors) had a small risk of relapse. However, a risk-adapted approach to management cannot be recommended at the present time because the prognostic model suffers from two major problems. Firstly, the model has not been validated in an independent data set; secondly, the model does not have

---

Table 1. Mandatory investigations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Mandatory investigations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complete history and physical exam, including scrotal exam</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Alpha-fetoprotein, ß-human chorionic gonadotrophin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lactate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Scrotal Ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CT abdomen and pelvis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CT thorax (chest x-ray if stage I seminoma)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CT = computed tomography; * = Bone scan and brain imaging only in patients with symptoms or poor prognosis metastatic disease. |
sufficient discrimination to be clinically useful. Even patients in the high-risk group have a greater than 65% chance of being relapse-free on surveillance.

At relapse, most patients can be successfully treated with retroperitoneal radiotherapy alone. One concern regarding the routine use of surveillance was the potential for the increased use of chemotherapy. However, data from PMH indicates that the 10-year actuarial risk of requiring chemotherapy at any time in the management of patients was 4.6% in patients managed by surveillance and 3.9% in those managed by adjuvant radiotherapy; this data suggest that there is no significant increase of the use of chemotherapy in patients followed on surveillance.19

An optimal follow-up strategy for patients on surveillance has not yet been determined. The National Cancer Research Institute in the United Kingdom has opened a randomized trial (TRISST) to address this issue. Patients with stage I seminoma will be randomized to either CT or

### Table 2a. Staging of testis tumours: UICC/American Joint Committee on Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNM staging</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumour*</td>
<td>Primary tumour cannot be assessed. (If no radical orchidectomy has been performed, Tx is used)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTX</td>
<td>No evidence of primary tumour (e.g., histologic scar in testis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTis</td>
<td>Intratubular germ cell neoplasia (carcinoma in situ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT1</td>
<td>Tumour limited to the testis and epididymis without vascular/lymphatic invasion. Tumour may invade into the tunica albuginea but not the tunica vaginalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT2</td>
<td>Tumour limited to the testis and epididymis with vascular/lymphatic invasion, or tumour extending through the tunica albuginea with involvement of the tunica vaginalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT3</td>
<td>Tumour invades the spermatic cord with or without vascular/lymphatic invasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT4</td>
<td>Tumour invades the scrotum with or without vascular/lymphatic invasion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional lymph nodes (N) clinical</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NX</td>
<td>Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No regional lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Metastasis with a lymph node mass 2 cm or less in greatest dimension; or multiple lymph nodes, none more than 2 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Metastasis with a lymph node mass, more than 2 cm but not more than 5 cm in greatest dimension; or multiple lymph nodes, any one mass greater than 2 cm but not more than 5 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Metastasis with a lymph node mass more than 5 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1a</td>
<td>Non-regional nodal or pulmonary metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1b</td>
<td>Non-pulmonary visceral metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum tumour markers (S)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SX</td>
<td>Marker studies not available or not performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0</td>
<td>Marker study levels within normal limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>LDH &lt; 1.5 × Normal and HCG (mlu/mL) &lt; 5000 and AFP (ug/mL) &lt; 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>LDH 1.5 – 10 × Normal or HCG (mlu/mL) 5000 – 50 000 or AFP (ug/mL) 1000 – 10 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>LDH &gt; 10 × Normal or HCG (mlu/mL) &gt; 50 000 or AFP (ug/mL) &gt; 10 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Stage grouping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Tumour</th>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Metastasis</th>
<th>Serum factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 0</td>
<td>pTis</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>S0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I</td>
<td>pT1-4</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>SX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IA</td>
<td>pT1</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>S0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1B</td>
<td>pT2</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>S0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IS</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>S1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>N1-3</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>SX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIA</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>S0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIB</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>S0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIC</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>S0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>SX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIA</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1a</td>
<td>S0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIB</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1a</td>
<td>S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIC</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1a</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1b</td>
<td>Any S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

therapy to the involved area. Inguinal relapse can often be treated successfully with radiotherapy and gives close to a 100% cure rate. Chemotherapy is the treatm ent of choice for supraclavicular fossa. A small proportion of patients, usually with predisposing factors, relapse in the inguinal nodes. Chemotherapy is the treatment of choice for supra-diaphragmatic relapse and gives close to a 100% cure rate. Inguinal relapse can often be treated successfully with radiotherapy to the involved area.

Most relapses occur within 2 years of radiotherapy. In the PMH series, 283 patients treated between 1981 and 2004 had a median time to relapse of 18 months, with the latest relapse occurring at 6 years. Follow-up efforts should therefore concentrate on the first 2 years after radiotherapy.

The traditional management of stage I seminoma patients after orchietomy has consisted of radiotherapy to the paraaortic and pelvic (retroperitoneal) lymph nodes. The low incidence of pelvic lymph node involvement in stage I seminoma led to the investigation of adjuvant radiotherapy directed to the paraaortic lymph nodes alone. The advantages of such an approach include decreased scatter to the remaining testicle and a reduction in the integral radiation dose that the patient receives, presumably decreasing the risk of second malignancy. The Medical Research Council Testicular Study Group randomized 478 patients to traditional paraaortic and pelvic radiation or paraaortic irradiation alone. Patients treated with paraaortic radiotherapy alone had a 4% relapse rate compared to a 3.4% relapse rate in patients treated to the paraaortic and pelvic lymph nodes. All patients who received paraaortic and pelvic radiotherapy relapsed in supra-diaphragmatic sites, but 1.6% of patients treated to the paraaortic lymph nodes alone group failed with disease in the pelvis. This trial demonstrated that treating the paraaortic nodes alone gives excellent results, but when used a small risk of pelvic failure remains. Therefore, if this treatment approach is adopted, regular imaging with CT of the pelvic lymph nodes must be performed to ensure that if pelvic relapse occurs, it is detected at an early stage. Data from the Christie Hospital in Manchester, United Kingdom, where no routine evaluation of the pelvis is carried out after paraaortic radiation alone, has shown that the median size of the pelvic lymph nodes at time of detection of relapse is 5 cm (range 2.5 to 9 cm). The advantage of paraaortic radiotherapy alone is therefore not clear, particularly in comparison to surveillance.

Data from the MD Anderson and the Royal Marsden hospitals suggest that long-term survivors of testicular seminoma treated postorchiectomy with radiotherapy are at significant excess risk of death as a result of cardiac disease. In the MD Anderson series of 453 patients treated between 1951 and 1999, the standardized cardiac mortality ratio for patients greater than 15 years after radiotherapy (infra-diaphragmatic radiotherapy, no mediastinal radiotherapy) was 1.80 (95% CI 1.01–2.98). Huddart and colleagues reported a similar increase in cardiac events in a cohort of 992 patients treated at the Royal Marsden Hospital with a risk-ratio of 2.4 (95% CI 1.04–5.45) in those treated with infra-diaphragmatic radiotherapy as compared to those managed by surveillance.

An increased risk of second cancers after radiation therapy for stage I seminoma has been documented in a number of studies, and since this increased risk is expressed more than 10 to 15 years following radiation therapy, it is often not apparent in series with shorter follow-up. The
largest study of second cancers in long-term survivors of testicular cancer was conducted by Travis and colleagues at the National Cancer Institute Cancer Epidemiology Division. This report combined 14 population-based registries including 10,534 patients with seminoma (all stages) treated with radiotherapy. Compared with matched cohorts from corresponding registries, the overall relative risk of a second non-testicular malignancy was 2.0 (95% CI 1.8–2.2). For a 35-year-old patient with seminoma, the cumulative 40-year risk of a second malignancy was 36%, compared with 23% in the normal population. These results were confirmed in a Dutch population-based study of more than 2,700 long-term GCT survivors in which the second malignancy risk with subdiaphragmatic radiation therapy was 2.6-fold increased as compared to surgery alone. The increased risk associated with radiation therapy was similar to the increased cancer risk seen with smoking.

Adjuvant chemotherapy

Adjuvant chemotherapy using 1 to 2 cycles of carboplatin has recently being investigated as an alternative management strategy. The Medical Research Council in the United Kingdom has conducted a randomized phase III study of 1,447 patients comparing adjuvant radiotherapy and a single course of carboplatin. The relapse rate in both arms of the study was similar at 3 years (3.4% radiotherapy vs. 4.6% carboplatin) with most of the recurrences in the carboptalin arm occurring in the retroperitoneal lymph nodes. One possible benefit of adjuvant carboplatin noted in this setting was a reduction in the incidence of second primary testicular GCTs. Data from other single institution series indicate that if adjuvant carboplatin is given in this setting, 2 courses of treatment are likely necessary. Even with 2 cycles of carboplatin, a small but significant percentage of patients recur in the retroperitoneum and the usefulness of this approach is questionable. The relapse pattern after adjuvant single agent carboplatin mandates that continued surveillance of the retroperitoneal lymph nodes is required (similar to surveillance), and the reduction in relapse rates is only from 15% with surveillance to 5% in those given adjuvant chemotherapy. Eighty-five percent of patients receive unnecessary treatment and the long-term toxicity and long-term control rates with this strategy are unknown.

Consensus recommendations

Patients should be informed of all treatment options, including the potential benefits and side effects of each treatment.

In a patient willing and able to adhere to a surveillance program, this approach should be considered as the management option of choice (Fig 1). A risk-adapted approach with surveillance for low-risk patients and treatment for those at higher risk of relapse cannot be recommended at the present time; the prognostic model on which this approach is based has not been validated and has poor discriminative ability.

Table 3. Summary of surveillance studies in stage I seminoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Median follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>No. patients relapse</th>
<th>Relapse, %</th>
<th>Cause-specific survival, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daugaard</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germállich</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horwich</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramakrishnan</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Von der Maase</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warde</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyldesley</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Adjuvant radiation therapy studies in stage I seminoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Years of study</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Relapse, %</th>
<th>Cause-specific survival, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bayens</td>
<td>1975-1985</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman</td>
<td>1980-1995</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fossa</td>
<td>1989-1993</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>1995-1998</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santoni</td>
<td>1970-1999</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warde</td>
<td>1981-2002</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When adjuvant therapy is chosen:
1. Radiation therapy remains the preferred option for patients.
2. Adjuvant chemotherapy using single-agent carboplatin is an option but requires continuing CT imaging.

**Stage II seminoma**

In stage IIA seminoma, radiation therapy is the preferred treatment over chemotherapy if there are no contraindications. Radiation therapy is given to the paraaortic and ipsilateral pelvic nodes and with doses in the range of 30 Gy to 35 Gy, the 5-year relapse free-rate is in excess of 90% in most modern series. In stage IIB disease, depending on the bulk of disease and location of lymph nodes, radiation therapy or chemotherapy (etoposide and cisplatin [EP] × 4 cycles or bleomycin, etoposide and cisplatin [BEP] × 3 cycles) can be used. The relapse-free rate with radiation therapy is close to 90% and most relapses are cured with salvage chemotherapy. With primary chemotherapy, there are very few relapses and the overall disease-specific survival is close to 100% whichever management approach is adopted.

A CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis should be performed about 3 months after treatment to monitor response to therapy. Repeated imaging should be performed at 3 to 6 monthly intervals until there is complete regression of disease.

Stage IIC disease should be managed by primary chemotherapy (same as good-risk metastatic nonseminomas) as the relapse rate with radiation therapy approaches 50% in most series, and not all patients can be salvaged with chemotherapy.

**Consensus recommendations**

In stage IIA disease, radiation therapy should be considered standard treatment if there are no contraindications. Otherwise, chemotherapy is an option.

In stage IIB disease, chemotherapy or RT are reasonable treatment approaches.

In stage IIC disease, chemotherapy should be considered the standard treatment approach.

**3. Management of stage I testicular nonseminoma**

Testicular cancer is classified as nonseminoma if, histologically, the tumour contains any component of embryonal carcinoma, yolk sac tumour, choriocarcinoma, or immature teratoma. Patients with histologically pure seminoma but elevated serum AFP or markedly elevated HCG levels may also be considered to have nonseminoma. Patients are considered to have clinical stage I disease after radical orchiectomy when imaging investigations (including CT abdomen and pelvis, chest) and serum tumour markers (i.e., AFP, HCG, LDH) are normal. Pathological stage I disease is similarly defined except that the men have also had a pathologically negative retroperitoneal lymphadenectomy (RPLND). If lymph node metastases are present and completely excised, the patient is considered to have pathological stage II (PS II) disease. While most patients with clinical stage I nonseminoma germ cell tumour (NSGCT) are cured with orchiectomy, about 20% to 30% will experience recurrence and require additional treatment for cure.

Historically, RPLND has been used for both staging and therapeutic purposes, with patients with PS II disease often being given adjuvant chemotherapy. However, with the emergence of highly effective cisplatin-based chemotherapy, the necessity of RPLND has been questioned, and active surveillance (with treatment held in reserve for those who relapse) or adjuvant chemotherapy have become the preferred management options for clinical stage (CS) I patients. It is generally agreed that all approaches ultimately result in similar cancer cure rates, approaching 100% in most series.

**Surveillance**

Eleven non-randomized trials of surveillance were identified in a recent systematic review of the literature. A total of 1768 patients were evaluated and with a median follow-up range of 19.5 to 76 months, 378 recurrences were reported (21.4%). Across the studies, 13 deaths from testicular cancer were reported, along with 7 other deaths. One of those deaths was due to treatment toxicity during
Table 5. Summary of surveillance studies in stage I nonseminoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (publication year)</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Years of study</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Median follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>Relapses, no. (%)</th>
<th>Deaths, no. (%)</th>
<th>DSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sturgeon [personal communication] (2008)</td>
<td>Princess Margaret Hospital, Toronto</td>
<td>1981-2005</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>104 (28%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daugaard (2003) [13]</td>
<td>Copenhagen</td>
<td>1984-2001</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>86 (29%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roeleveld (2001) [50]</td>
<td>Amsterdam</td>
<td>1992-1994</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>23 (26%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandre (2001) [51]</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1984-1996</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24 (27%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis (2000) [52]</td>
<td></td>
<td>1979-1996</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>52 (28%)</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sogani (1998) [53]</td>
<td>Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, NY</td>
<td>1979-1987</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>27 (26%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colls (1999) [46]</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1980-1997</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>70 (28%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hao (1998) [54]</td>
<td>Tom Baker Cancer Centre, Calgary</td>
<td>1980-1994</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>28 (37%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyer (1997) [55]</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1982-1995</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27 (35%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gels (1995) [57]</td>
<td>Groningen</td>
<td>1982-1992</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>42 (27%)</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondrus and Hornak (1994) [58]</td>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>1984-1993</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>29 (36%)</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read (1992) [45]</td>
<td>United Kingdom,†7 Denmark†</td>
<td>1984-1987</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100 (27%)</td>
<td>5 (1%)</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedman (1987) [59]</td>
<td>United Kingdom multicentre</td>
<td>1979-1983</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70 (27%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled data</td>
<td></td>
<td>1979-2005</td>
<td>2701</td>
<td>30-136</td>
<td>773 (28.6%)</td>
<td>40 (1.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maroto* (2005) [60]</td>
<td>Spanish Germ Cell Group</td>
<td>1994-2004</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>71 (20%)</td>
<td>5 (1.4%)</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amato* (2004) [61]</td>
<td>M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston</td>
<td>1993-1999</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3 (13%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondrus* (1998) [62]</td>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>1992-1997</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7 (14.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klepp* (1997) [48]</td>
<td>Sweden-Norway (Swedish-Norwegian Testicular Cancer Project)</td>
<td>1990-1994</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23 (22%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pont* (1990) [67]</td>
<td>Vienna</td>
<td>1985-1989</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 (4.5%)</td>
<td>0 (%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rorth** (1991) [63]</td>
<td>Danish Testicular Cancer Study Group</td>
<td>1980-1984</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>23 (30%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*= indicates single arm of a risk-adapted study; **= indicates single arm of a randomized trial; DSS = disease-specific survival.
salvage treatment. The survival outcomes are summarized in Table 5.13,45-63 The presence of microscopic vascular or lymphatic invasion in the primary tumour is the most important factor predicting relapse and the presence or absence of this factor has been used to divide patients: those with high-risk disease (a third of the cases) who have about a 50% risk of relapse, and those with low-risk disease who have about a 15% to 20% risk of relapse.45

**Retropertioneal lymph node dissection**

Two non-randomized studies of adjuvant RPLND in the management of stage I NSGCT were identified in a recent systematic review of the literature.39,48,64 Across these studies, 344 patients were followed for a median time ranging from 21 to 40 months, and a total of 41 recurrences were found. There was 1 death from testicular cancer and 1 other death from unrelated causes. In addition, Albers and colleagues recently reported the results of a randomized clinical trial (RCT) comparing 1 course of BEP versus RPLND in 382 patients with CS I RPLND (all risk groups).65 In the 173 patients who received RPLND, 18.5% had stage II disease at surgery and these patients were given 2 courses of BEP. In those patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy, no relapses were observed. In patients managed with RPLND alone, 13 recurrences were observed, 7 of which occurred in the retroperitoneum. Outcomes from published studies are shown in Table 6.48,64-70

**Adjuvant chemotherapy**

One RCT and 7 non-randomized studies with 10 treatment arms (total 873 evaluable patients) were identified in a recent meta-analysis.39,48,65,71-75 Because the RCT compared adjuvant chemotherapy to RPLND, only the chemotherapy arm was included in the meta-analysis. Although the follow-up times of the included studies varied, all had sufficient follow-up that almost all recurrences that would occur among these patients were included. Across the 8 studies, 23 recurrences were reported, corresponding to an overall estimated recurrence rate of 3.8% (95% CI, 2.6% to 5.5%; p = 0.42; I²=2.6%). For patients treated with BEP or cisplatin, vinblastine, and bleomycin (PVB), the estimated recurrence rates were 3.9% (95% CI, 1.6% to 9%), 3.9% (95% CI, 2.1% to 7%), and 7.2% (95% CI, 2.1% to 22.1%) for 1, 2, and 3 cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy, respectively. Two recurrences with 2 cycles of BEP or PVB and 1 with 3 cycles of BEP were pure mature teratoma. In the randomized trial by Albers and colleagues, one course BEP versus RPLND, the two-year recurrence-free survival was 99.46%.65 The results of the studies are summarized in Table 7.65,71,74-81

**Consensus recommendations**

Patients should be informed of all treatment options, including the potential benefits and side effects of each treatment.

In a patient willing and able to adhere to a surveillance program, for all risk groups, surveillance should be considered as the management option of choice (Fig 2).

Some experts involved in the development of these recommendations suggested that RPLND may be a useful option for patients at high risk of relapse. It was agreed that there is currently not enough evidence from prospective trials to support or refute this position. Patients who undergo RPLND should have their surgery performed by surgeons who are experienced with the procedure. Otherwise, RPLND should be offered in the context of a clinical trial.

For patients who prefer immediate treatment or who are unsuitable for primary surveillance, adjuvant chemotherapy with 2 cycles of BEP is recommended, although RPLND remains an option.

### 4. Stage IIA/IIB testicular nonseminoma

The cure rate for CS IIA and IIB nonseminoma is close to 98%. Three treatment options have been used in the past: primary RPLND alone, primary RPLND with adjuvant chemotherapy and primary chemotherapy followed by residual tumour resection. Primary RPLND alone has been demonstrated in high relapse rates with 30% for patients with stage IIA and 50% for patients with stage IIB disease.82-85 Primary RPLND followed by adjuvant chemotherapy with two cycles of BEP exposes all patients to two different treatment modalities including surgery-related complications, such as retrograde ejaculation. Primary chemotherapy with three cycles of BEP or, if contraindications for bleomycin, 4 cycles of etoposide and cisplatin (EP) induce a complete remission in 83% to 91% of patients with clinical stage IIA and in 61% to 87% of patients with clinical stage IIB.86,87 Most of these patients

![Figure 2: Schema for the management of stage I nonseminoma.](image)
can be spared a residual tumour resection and the associated morbidities, such as loss of ejaculation. In addition, relapse rates after primary chemotherapy are low with 4% to 9% for clinical stage IIA and 11% to 15% for clinical stage IIB disease. Patients with elevated serum tumour AFP, HCG or LDH and/or CSIIB are therefore treated with primary chemotherapy according to the algorithm for patients with advanced disease, according to International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group (IGCCCG) recommendations. Patients without marker elevations but with retroperitoneal lymph nodes 1 to 2 cm, suspected to be CSIIB, represent a particular problem. Differential diagnosis in these patients includes benign lymph node enlargement but also teratoma or active germ cell cancer. None of the currently used imaging methods including positron emission tomography (PET) scanning and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can reliably identify patients with metastases. Three options can be considered for these patients: RPLND, surveillance or primary chemotherapy. With RPLND, the pathological stage can be verified immediately, although 10% to 40% of patients will have non-malignant histology and PS I disease. If surveillance is chosen, follow-up imaging after 6 weeks is indicated to document whether the lesion grows, remains stable or shrinks. A shrinking lesion is likely to be not of malignant origin and should be further observed. A stable or growing lesion indicates either teratoma or undifferentiated malignant tumour. If the lesion grows slowly and without corresponding increase of the tumour markers, RPLND should be performed by an experienced surgeon because of suspected teratoma. Patients with a rapidly growing lesion and/or a concomitant increase of the tumour, markers should not be resected but treated with primary BEP chemotherapy according to the treatment algorithm for patients with metastatic disease and IGCCCG recommendations.

When RPLND is performed this should be done using a full template nerve-sparing technique. Further options after RPLND are surveillance or adjuvant chemotherapy. For patients with PS IIA and B, the risk of recurrence is 30% and 50%, respectively, with surveillance only. Relapses occur almost exclusively outside the retroperitoneum. Adjuvant chemotherapy with 2 cycles of BEP in all PS IIA/B patients after RPLND reduces this risk of recurrence to about 0 to 7%. Yet, adjuvant chemotherapy represents an overtreatment in 50% to 70% of radically operated PS IIA/B patients with the resulting treatment-related toxicity and possible late sequelae.

**Consensus recommendations**

Patients with CS IIA marker positive disease and IIB regardless of marker state should be managed with primary chemotherapy.

Patients with IIA disease without marker elevation can be managed by:
1. RPLND with consideration of adjuvant chemotherapy if node positive.

2. Surveillance with surgery for stable or growing lesions (if becomes marker positive use primary chemotherapy approach).

### 5. Treatment of advanced or metastatic disease

Patients with advanced or metastatic GCTs should always be considered potentially curable. Survival outcomes appear to be better in specialized centres and this may be related to experience, case selection, volume, and/or the organization of multidisciplinary care.\(^4,^{11,96}\) Referral of all patients with advanced GCTs for consultation to a specialized centre is strongly recommended. Patients with advanced disease can be stratified into three prognostic groups using the IGCC criteria (Table 2b).\(^{10}\) Prognostic variables include histology (nonseminoma vs. seminoma), site of the primary testicular (retroperitoneal and other), presence or absence of non-pulmonary visceral metastases (brain, bone or liver) and degree of marker elevation (AFP, β-HCG and LDH).

Standard chemotherapy for all patients is BEP chemotherapy.\(^{97-100}\) The efficacy of the 5-day schedule of BEP with etoposide 100 mg/m\(^2\)/day and cisplatin 20 mg/m\(^2\)/day for 5 days and bleomycin 30 IU weekly is of equivalent efficacy to the same drugs given on a 3-day schedule (etoposide 165 mg/m\(^2\)/day given for 3 days, cisplatin 50 mg/m\(^2\)/day given for 2 days, and bleomycin 30 IU weekly.\(^{99}\) BEP given over 3 days, however, has increased short-term gastrointestinal toxicity and long-term ototoxicity.\(^{99,101}\) Carboplatin should not be substituted for cisplatin due to inferior outcomes.\(^{102,103}\) Thus, the original 5-day BEP regimen, therefore, is the preferred option for the management of advanced GCTs. Modifications in BEP to reduce toxicity or improve convenience should be avoided as they may also reduce efficacy. A summary of the randomized trials in advanced disease is shown in Table 8.

In patients with IGCC “good” prognosis disease, 3 cycles of BEP should be given.\(^{97,98,104,105}\) If there is a contraindication to bleomycin, 4 cycles of EP can be given, but has been associated with a nonstatistically significant but higher death rate in one RCT.\(^{100,106,107}\)

---

### Table 7. Adjuvant chemotherapy for stage I nonseminoma germ cell tumour (selected studies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (publication year)</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Median follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>Relapses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oliver et al (1992)(^76)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>EC, VI, no yolk sac tumour</td>
<td>EBC (3i \times 2)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madej et al. (1991)(^77)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>VI, LI, RT invasion, involvement of epididymis</td>
<td>PVB (\times 3)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pont et al. (1996)(^75)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>BEP (\times 2)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2 (6.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cullen et al. (1996)(^74)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>EC, VI, no yolk sac tumour</td>
<td>BEP (\times 2)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studer et al. (2000)(^78)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>EC, VI, capsule penetration</td>
<td>PVB or BEP (\times 2)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevreau et al. (2004)(^79)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>VI, EC</td>
<td>BEP (\times 2)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dearnaley et al. (2005)(^71)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>EC, VI, no yolk sac tumour</td>
<td>BOP (\times 2)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Adjuvant chemotherapy with 1 cycle of BEP (experimental)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (publication year)</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Median follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>Relapses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert et al. (2006)(^80)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>VI, EC, LI, no Yolk sac tumour</td>
<td>BEP (\times 1) CEB (\times 1)</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albers et al. (2008)(^85)</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>BEP (\times 1)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westermann et al. (2008)(^83)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>VI, LI, EC</td>
<td>BEP (\times 1)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

EC = embryonal component; VI = vascular invasion; LI = lymphatic invasion; RT = rete testes; NR = not reported; EBC = etoposide, bleomycin, and carboplatin; PVB = cisplatin (Platinol-AQ), vinblastine, bleomycin; BEP = bleomycin, etoposide, platinum; BOP = bleomycin, vincristine, cisplatin; CEB = carboplatin, etoposide and bleomycin.
In patients with IGCCC “intermediate” or “poor” prognosis disease, 4 cycles of the BEP are considered the standard therapy.\textsuperscript{108,109} etoposide, ifosfamide and cisplatin (VIP) has been compared to BEP in this patient population and shows similar cancer outcomes but more genitourinary toxicity and myelosuppression and, thus, represents an alternative to BEP for patients with a contraindication to bleomycin or who develop pulmonary compromise while receiving BEP.\textsuperscript{110} For “intermediate” or “poor” prognosis patients, there is no evidence to date that the use of high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell transplant is superior to standard BEP for 4 cycles.\textsuperscript{111,112} When chemotherapy is given, it should be given without dose reductions at 21-day intervals. Only in exceptional circumstances should the chemotherapy be delayed or dose-reduced. Primary prophylaxis for complications of neutropenia with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor is generally not recommended as per the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2006 Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines.\textsuperscript{113} In this guideline, primary prophylaxis is not recommended if the risk of febrile neutropenia is less than 20%; however, it can be considered in patients that are at high risk based on age, co-morbidities, disease characteristics and myelotoxicity of the regimen (i.e., ifosfamide-based chemotherapy). Secondary prophylaxis can be considered if there were infectious complications in the prior cycle and to maintain dense intensity.\textsuperscript{114} Prophylactic antibiotic treatment has been shown to reduce febrile neutropenia during chemotherapy with no change in mortality and may be considered in some patients.\textsuperscript{115,116}

In patients with life-threatening “poor” prognosis disease, orchietomy should not delay the initiation of curative therapy and can be performed at the end of therapy.\textsuperscript{117-120} It is recommended that these patients be referred to specialized centres for optimal multidisciplinary management and supportive care.

Monitoring during chemotherapy

During chemotherapy, monitoring tumour markers just prior to each treatment cycle is mandatory. If there is no tumour marker elevation prior to chemotherapy, radiological imaging should be performed after 2 cycles.

As long as tumour markers are declining, a full course of chemotherapy should be completed. If there is a slow tumour marker decline or stable tumour markers, earlier radiological restaging can be considered. If there is unequivocal tumour marker rise, even in the presence of radiological regression, a switch to salvage chemotherapy may be necessary. Patients in this setting, who have evidence of progressive disease with first-line chemotherapy, have a worse prognosis.\textsuperscript{121}

If there is an expected tumour marker decline but the metastases are growing radiologically, growing teratoma syndrome should be considered.\textsuperscript{92,122} In most cases, the full course of chemotherapy should be completed and resection of the growing and residual masses should be done post-chemotherapy. Very rarely, rapid radiological progression in the setting of decreasing tumour marker decline is seen which would necessitate surgical resection prior to the completion of chemotherapy.

Post-chemotherapy, radiological restaging should be performed in all patients. If the expected tumour marker decline is seen, all residual masses should be treated appropriately. If the tumour markers plateau and are at a low level, they should be followed closely. If there is a persistent plateau or tumour marker decline, residual masses should be treated appropriately.

It is not uncommon for patients with a markedly elevated HCG prior to treatment to take longer for the HCG to normalize or plateau at the end of chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{123}

Post-chemotherapy residual masses: nonseminomatous germ cell tumours

In many patients who have completed chemotherapy and have normalized their tumour markers, residual masses are seen on repeat radiological imaging. Histology of residual masses after first-line chemotherapy will be necrosis in 40% to 50%, mature teratoma in 35% to 40% and viable cancer in 10% to 15%.\textsuperscript{124,125} The incidence of viable cancer is likely even higher after salvage chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{124,126} Some of the factors that have been found to predict for no viable tumour in the residual mass include: no teratoma in the primary tumour, pre-chemotherapy normal tumour markers, a small pre-chemotherapy mass, a large shrinkage of the mass with chemotherapy, and size of residual mass ≤ 10 mm.\textsuperscript{125,127,128} To date, however, no imaging procedures, including PET scan, nor any one predictive factor or predictive model exists to reliably predict the histology of residual masses.\textsuperscript{125,127-133}

In patients with normal tumour markers and residual masses, the residual masses should be resected. Two areas of controversy exist, however, where the literature does not give clear answers: (1) what constitutes a “residual mass” and (2) the extent of surgical resection. No consensus could be reached on the first controversy. All Canadian genitourinary oncology specialists felt that a full discussion regarding the risks and benefits of surgery post-chemotherapy must be undertaken with all patients and individual factors, such as the risk of relapse, ability for follow-up, quality of life and patient preferences, must be taken into account. All felt the radiological imaging had to be reviewed by experienced and knowledgeable radiologists, uro-oncologists and/or medical oncologists. All Canadian genitourinary oncology specialists thought any residual mass ≥ 1 cm should be resected.\textsuperscript{126} Some thought that any residual mass should
be resected including those smaller than 1 cm.134 A small minority felt that even patients with completely normal radiologically imaging should undergo RPLND to try to prevent late relapses.135,136

If one were to decide on a RPLND, historically different options for the extent of resection have been recommended. These include: (1) removal of the visible or palpable mass and then a limited RPLND, (2) a strategy guided by intraoperative findings such that if the frozen section showed teratoma or viable cancer, a full bilateral RPLND would be done and if only fibrosis or necrosis is seen, a limited RPLND would be performed, (3) a modified RPLND in selected patients,
resection is the management of choice. If persistent retroperitoneal disease is present and the decision to perform a RPLND is made, surgery should be done 4 to 8 weeks after completing chemotherapy. Whatever the extent of surgery, complete resection of the residual masses impacts prognosis and every attempt at complete surgical resection must be made. If technically possible, a nerve-sparing procedure should be done. Surgery should be performed by trained, experienced, expert uro-oncologists which may require referral to specialist centre. Perioperative and postoperative complications must also be minimized, especially pulmonary toxicity in patients who have received bleomycin. Laparoscopic RPLND should not be considered a standard of care at the present time.

Resection of residual tumour outside the retroperitoneum should be considered on an individual basis. In most cases, the retroperitoneum should be operated on first. Concordance in the pathology between the retroperitoneum and other metastatic sites ranges from 50% to 89%. Thus, if the histology in the resected residual retroperitoneal masses shows complete necrosis, both surveillance and resection of the remaining non-retroperitoneal residual lesions are acceptable options. If the histology in the resected residual retroperitoneal masses shows mature teratoma, resection of the remaining non-retroperitoneal masses should be done. If the histology in the resected residual retroperitoneal masses shows viable malignancy, salvage chemotherapy and/or further surgery may be options depending on the individual clinical scenario.

Post-chemotherapy residual masses: seminoma

Post-chemotherapy residual masses in advanced seminoma are not uncommon and most do not have to be treated. In patients with residual masses ≥3 cm, an FDG-PET scan should be obtained to gain further information regarding the viability of the residual mass. In patients with residual masses less than 3 cm, the likelihood of viable malignancy is low and thus surveillance is reasonable. In patients with residual masses less than <3 cm, the use of FDG-PET scanning is optional. The PET scan should be done 4 to 12 weeks after day 21 of the last chemotherapy cycle.

If the PET scan is negative, no other active treatment is required and the patient can be surveyed. If the PET scan is positive, however, one must consider the possibility of viable disease. The Canadian consensus was that surgical resection is the management of choice. Radiation therapy may be given in some cases although the overall benefit of radiotherapy may be minimal. The advantages of surgery include the ability to assess the response to chemotherapy, stage accurately and potentially provide cure. The disadvantage of surgery is the high frequency of desmoplastic reaction associated with seminoma which may make surgery more technically difficult and increase complication rates. The extent of surgical resection in seminoma is usually a resection of the residual mass or multiple biopsies and does not usually include a full or modified RPLND.

Consolidation chemotherapy after secondary surgery

If the pathology from completely resected residual masses shows necrosis or mature teratoma, no further treatment is required. When viable cancer is found, the role of further chemotherapy is not clear. One retrospective analysis showed an improvement in progression-free survival with adjuvant chemotherapy however no improvement in overall survival. A second retrospective analysis also showed a longer disease-free interval in those patients who had viable cancer in their post-chemotherapy RPLND who received chemotherapy compared to those who did not. There are no direct prospective data to help guide the decision.

Brain metastases

About 2% to 3% of patients with germ cell malignancy will present with brain metastases and up to 10% to 15% may develop it during the course of their illness. There are three patterns of presentations: (1) at the time of initial diagnosis in the presence of other systemic disease, (2) at relapse in the brain only, (3) at relapse including the brain and other sites of systemic disease. Patients who present with brain metastases at their initial diagnosis have a survival probability in the range of 30%, whereas patients who develop brain metastases as one of multiple sites of relapse have a shorter survival. The patients that appear to have the best outcome are those with isolated brain metastases at the time of recurrence; although this is a rare group. The optimal sequence of chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgery is not known and management should be performed on an individual basis. In a multivariate analysis, radiotherapy together with chemotherapy improved the overall prognosis of patients who present with brain metastases versus either treatment alone, which is different than another report showing no benefit from the addition of radiotherapy. If the goal is cure, systemic chemotherapy should be used in all patients. It is not clear whether high-dose chemotherapy is of greater benefit to these patients. The roles of secondary resection of a solitary residual mass in the brain and the use of brain radiotherapy are also unclear.
Consensus recommendations

All patients with advanced GCT should be treated for cure and referral to specialized centre should be strongly considered.

Patients with IGCCC good-risk disease should receive 3 cycles of BEP.

Patients with IGCCC intermediate and poor-risk disease should receive 4 cycles of BEP.

During chemotherapy, patients need to be monitored on a regular basis with serial tumour marker estimation. Post-chemotherapy, all patients should have radiological restaging to determine if there are residual masses.

In NSGCT cases, post-chemotherapy residual masses greater than 1 cm should be resected.

No consensus could be reached on role of surgical resection of masses ≤1 cm or where complete response is achieved.

If surgery performed for residual disease a full bilateral RPLND should be performed: Residual mass post-treatment seminoma:
1. Greater than 3 cm with PET scan positive: surgical resection.
2. ≤3 cm or greater than 3 cm with PET scan negative: observe.

Patients with brain metastases should be managed in a specialized centre and may require multimodality treatment including surgical resection.

6. Treatment of relapsed and refractory disease

Patients with GCTs who relapse represent a heterogeneous group of patients. Depending on the histology and initial presenting stage, patients may either relapse while on surveillance, post-radiation therapy, post-RPLND or post-chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy naïve

Nonseminoma patients may relapse while on surveillance or post primary RPLND for clinical stage I disease. Seminoma patients may relapse after adjuvant carboplatin chemotherapy, adjuvant radiation therapy or while on surveillance. Seminoma patients who relapse while on surveillance or after adjuvant carboplatin may be candidates for radiation therapy if the relapse is localized to the RPLN and if they meet the criteria for radiation therapy as per stage II seminoma.

All other patients should be risk stratified into by the IGCCC criteria and treated accordingly with standard dose BEP. Seminoma patients who have previously received only radiation therapy have an excellent chance of cure with standard dosed cisplatin-based chemotherapy.168

Relapse post-cisplatin-based chemotherapy

Patients who relapse after cisplatin-based chemotherapy can be treated with either further standard dose chemotherapy or consideration of high-dose chemotherapy (HDCT) and autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT). In this patient population, prognostic factors have been identified that affect outcome. These are similar, but not identical to, those factors used to risk-stratify chemotherapy-naïve patients. These factors include: site of the primary cancer (gonadal better than non-gonadal); histology of the primary tumour (seminoma better than nonseminoma); response to first-line cisplatin-based chemotherapy (complete response or partial response marker negative better than partial response marker positive or progressive disease); progression-free interval after first-line chemotherapy (greater than 6 months better than less than 6 months); sites of metastatic disease prior to salvage treatment (lung or nodal better than other visceral sites of disease); and level of tumour markers at relapse (AFP <100 ng/mL, HCG <1000 u/L as opposed to higher than this).169-174

No data exits as to whether or not or how one could tailor the approach to treating relapsed patients based on these prognostic factors. However, consensus participants noted that many of the phase II trials of standard dose chemotherapy have largely been conducted in good-risk patients, making it difficult to extrapolate results to the poor risk population.

Standard dose chemotherapy

Regimens of choice for standard dose salvage treatment include 4 cycles of either VIP, Vinblastine, ifosfamide and cisplatin (VeIP) or Paclitaxel, ifosfamide and cisplatin (TIP).170,171,173,175-179 With these regimens, long-term disease control can be achieved in between 15% to 60% of patients. Given that no randomized trials exist comparing these chemotherapy combinations, no recommendation can be made as to which regimen is superior or should be used as the standard management. Most of the older data with VIP and VeIP is in all-risk patients and most of the newer data with TIP is in good-risk patients at relapse. Thus, it is less certain if the data in good-risk relapsed patients with TIP would be as useful in determining a regimen in the poorer risk population; however, it is not an unreasonable choice.

There has been one randomized trial of HDCT versus standard dose salvage chemotherapy in good-risk relapsed patients. This trial compared 4 cycles of cisplatin, ifosfamide and etoposide (or vinblastine) (PEI) compared to 3 cycles of PEI followed by high dose carboplatin, etoposide and cyclophosphamide and ASCT. This trial showed no advantage to HDCT in this group.174
**High-dose chemotherapy and autologous transplantation**

High-dose chemotherapy has been shown in phase II trials to be an effective salvage strategy in poor-risk patients with a suggestion of an improvement in survival as compared to standard dose salvage chemotherapy, albeit through matched-pair analysis rather than randomized trials.\(^{180,181}\) High-dose chemotherapy has also been shown to be a potentially curative option for patients with second or subsequent relapses.\(^{181-183}\)

Patients who failed to be cured with a standard dose option and are well enough to tolerate it, HDCT with transplantation should be offered before declaring the relapsed disease incurable. For patients undergoing HDCT, high dose carboplatin and etoposide regimen is the conditioning regimen of choice.\(^{184}\) While there have been no randomized studies that have determined the optimal conditioning regimen, there have been reports of excess toxicity if an oxazaphosphorine (e.g., cyclophosphamide) is included in the regimen.\(^{183,184}\) Also, not including a third drug in the regimen allows higher doses of the two most active agents (carboplatin and etoposide) to be administered. Patients who are proceeding to transplant should be offered standard dose chemotherapy before the transplant to determine if they have chemotherapy sensitive disease, to debulk the disease before the transplant, and to keep the disease under control while logistical arrangements for the transplant can be put into place. It appears the best results for HDCT have been obtained if a tandem transplant has been performed and thus, patients should have enough stem cells collected for a planned tandem procedure.\(^{184}\) High-dose chemotherapy and transplantation should be performed in specialized centres where there is adequate volume and expertise to be able to offer the best supportive and pre/post transplant care.

**Multiply refractory patients**

Patients who relapse after standard dose and high dose chemotherapy may still respond to chemotherapy agents, usually with a view to palliating the disease rather than curing it. Drugs having efficacy include oral etoposide, paclitaxel, gemcitabine, oxaliplatin or combinations of these drugs.\(^{185}\) While infrequent, some patients being treated in the third-line setting may have long-term disease control or cure in particular if the tumour lesions can be completely resected.\(^{184}\)

**Salvage surgery**

In patients who normalize their markers but have residual disease radiographically, all residual masses should be considered for salvage surgery. Patients who still harbor viable disease in their post-chemotherapy masses have a worse prognosis but the administration of adjuvant chemotherapy does not appear to improve outcomes in this setting.\(^{143,186}\)

Patients who fail to normalize their markers or have progressive disease post-salvage systemic treatment may be candidates for salvage or “desperation” surgery if it is felt that all the disease can be resected. This will often require a multidisciplinary surgical team and should be performed by surgeons skilled in these operations working in specialized centres.

**Later relapses**

Late relapse is defined as disease recurrence more than 2 years after completion of first-line therapy. The risk of late relapse in all comers is approximately 1.5% for seminoma and 3% for nonseminoma patients.\(^{187}\) These patients have disease that is more chemotherapy resistant and immediate surgical resection of recurrent disease should be undertaken if feasible, irrespective of the level of tumour markers.\(^{188-192}\) If surgical resection is not feasible, biopsy of the lesions should be undertaken to determine the histology and chemotherapy should be administered directed to the histology that is found on biopsy. If viable GCT is found, TIP has shown activity in late relapers who were not surgical candidates.\(^{173}\)

**Relapsing patients with mediastinal primary**

Patients with mediastinal primary GCT who relapse have a poor prognosis. In transplant series, very few if any of these patients have successfully been salvaged with a transplant, yet the toxicities of the treatment are still present.

**Consensus recommendations**

Seminoma patients who relapse while on surveillance or after adjuvant carboplatin may be candidates for radiation therapy if the relapse is localized to the RPLN and meets the criteria for radiation therapy as per stage II seminoma recommendations.

All other chemotherapy-naïve relapse patients should be stratified by the IGGCCCG criteria and treated accordingly to the recommendations for first-line management of advanced GCT.

Patients who relapse after cisplatin-based chemotherapy can be treated with either further standard dose chemotherapy or consideration of high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation (HDCT with ASCT).

No evidence that either approach is better.

Many in the group felt that it would be reasonable to offer standard dose chemotherapy to good-risk patients as many will be cured, especially based on the more recent
data using TIP, and reserve the transplant for third line.

For patients undergoing HDCT, high dose carboplatin and etoposide regimen is the conditioning regimen of choice. High-dose chemotherapy and transplantation could be offered as third-line therapy.

Patients who relapse after standard dose and high-dose chemotherapy should be offered third-line treatment as long as surgical resection of disease if possible.

Salvage surgery should always be considered in patients with residual resectable disease.

Patients with late relapse (nonseminoma) should have surgical resection of disease if possible.

Patients with mediastinal primary GCT who relapse should rarely if ever be offered transplantation.
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